

ARM@DA: Included Papers (as of September 9th 2022)

- Included Studies: ¹⁻⁹⁵
- Included Posters: ⁹⁶⁻¹⁰⁴
- Included Reviews: ¹⁰⁵⁻¹²⁰
- Included Other: ¹²¹⁻¹⁴²

INCLUDED STUDIES

1. Acquavita SP, Krummel DA, Talks A, *et al.* Assessing the digital divide among low-income perinatal women: Opportunities for provision of health information and counseling. *Telemedicine e-Health*. 2019;**25**:48-54. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2017.0292>
2. Aksoy Derya Y, Altiparmak S, AkÇA E, *et al.* Pregnancy and birth planning during COVID-19: The effects of tele-education offered to pregnant women on prenatal distress and pregnancy-related anxiety *Midwifery*. 2021;**92**:102877. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2020.102877>
3. Altman MR, Gavin AR, Eagen-Torkko MK, *et al.* Where the System Failed: The COVID-19 Pandemic's Impact on Pregnancy and Birth Care. *Global Qualitative Nursing Research*. 2021;**8**:23333936211006397. doi:10.1177/23333936211006397
4. Appelman IF, Thompson SM, van den Berg LMM, *et al.* It was tough, but necessary. Organizational changes in a community based maternity care system during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative analysis in the Netherlands. *PLoS ONE*. 2022;**17**:e0264311. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0264311>
5. Atmuri K, Sarkar M, Obudu E, *et al.* Perspectives of pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative study. *Women Birth*. 2021. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2021.03.008>
6. Aydin E, Glasgow KA, Weiss SM, *et al.* Expectant parents' perceptions of healthcare and support during COVID-19 in the UK: A thematic analysis. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.04.14.21255490. doi:10.1101/2021.04.14.21255490
7. Bailey CM, Newton JM, Hall HG. Telephone triage in midwifery practice: A cross-sectional survey. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2019;**91**:110-18. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2018.11.009
8. Baron AM, Ridgeway JL, Finnie DM, *et al.* Increasing the Connectivity and Autonomy of RNs with Low-Risk Obstetric Patients: Findings of a study exploring the use of a new prenatal care model. *AJN American Journal of Nursing*. 2018;**118**:48-55. doi:10.1097/01.NAJ.0000529715.93343.b0
9. Bidmead E, Lie M, Marshall A, *et al.* Service user and staff acceptance of fetal ultrasound telemedicine. *Digital health*. 2020;**6**:2055207620925929. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2055207620925929>
10. Bradfield Z, Hauck Y, Homer CSE, *et al.* Midwives' experiences of providing maternity care during the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia. *Women and birth : journal of the Australian College of Midwives*. 2021. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2021.02.007>

11. Bradley D, Blaine A, Shah N, *et al.* Patient Experience of Obstetric Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Preliminary Results From a Recurring National Survey. *Journal of patient experience.* 2020;**7**:653-56. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2374373520964045>
12. Brislane Á, Larkin F, Jones H, *et al.* Access to and Quality of Healthcare for Pregnant and Postpartum Women During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Frontiers in Global Women's Health.* 2021;**2**. doi:10.3389/fgwh.2021.628625
13. Butler Tobah YS, LeBlanc A, Branda ME, *et al.* Randomized comparison of a reduced-visit prenatal care model enhanced with remote monitoring. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2019;**221**:638.e1-38.e8. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2019.06.034
14. Cordasco KM, Katzburg JR, Katon JG, *et al.* Care coordination for pregnant veterans: VA's Maternity Care Coordinator Telephone Care Program. *Translational behavioral medicine.* 2018;**8**:419-28. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibx081>
15. Demirci J, Kotzias V, Bogen DL, *et al.* Telelactation via Mobile App: Perspectives of Rural Mothers, Their Care Providers, and Lactation Consultants. *Telemedicine journal and e-health : the official journal of the American Telemedicine Association.* 2019;**25**:853-58. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2018.0113>
16. Duryea EL, Adhikari EH, Ambia A, *et al.* Comparison Between In-Person and Audio-Only Virtual Prenatal Visits and Perinatal Outcomes. *JAMA Network Open.* 2021;**4**:e215854-e54. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.5854
17. Engeltjes B, Rosman A, Scheele F, *et al.* Evaluation of Normalization After Implementation of the Digital Dutch Obstetric Telephone Triage System: Mixed Methods Study With a Questionnaire Survey and Focus Group Discussion. *JMIR Form Res.* 2022;**6**:e33709. doi:10.2196/33709
18. Engeltjes B, Wouters E, Rijke R, *et al.* Obstetric telephone triage. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy.* 2020;**13**:2497-506. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S277464>
19. Evans EC, Bullock LFC. Supporting Rural Women During Pregnancy: Baby BEEP Nurses. *MCN: The American Journal of Maternal Child Nursing.* 2017;**42**:50-55. doi:10.1097/NMC.0000000000000305
20. Farrell R, Collart C, Craighead C, *et al.* The Successes and Challenges of Implementing Telehealth for Diverse Patient Populations Requiring Prenatal Care During COVID-19: Qualitative Study. *JMIR formative research.* 2022;**6**:e32791. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.2196/32791>
21. Faucher MA, Kennedy HP. Women's Perceptions on the Use of Video Technology in Early Labor: Being Able to See. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health.* 2020;**65**:342-48. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jmwh.13091>
22. Fernandez Lopez R, de-Leon-de-Leon S, Martin-de-Las-Heras S, *et al.* Women survivors of intimate partner violence talk about using e-health during pregnancy: a focus group study. *BMC women's health.* 2022;**22**:98. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12905-022-01669-2>
23. Foster KE, Casola AR, Uzumcu Z, *et al.* Outpatient maternity care and telemedicine use perceptions in the COVID-19 pandemic: a 2020 CERA survey. *Women & health.* 2022;**62**:402-11. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03630242.2022.2072051>

24. Futterman I, Rosenfeld E, Toaff M, *et al.* Addressing Disparities in Prenatal Care via Telehealth During COVID-19: Prenatal Satisfaction Survey in East Harlem. *American journal of perinatology*. 2021;**38**:88-92. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1718695>
25. Galle A, Semaan A, Huysmans E, *et al.* A double-edged sword-telemedicine for maternal care during COVID-19: findings from a global mixed-methods study of healthcare providers. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2021;**6**. doi:[10.1136/bmjgh-2020-004575](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2020-004575)
26. Gallegos D, Cromack C, Thorpe KJ. Can a phone call make a difference? Breastfeeding self-efficacy and nurse responses to mother's calls for help. *Journal of child health care : for professionals working with children in the hospital and community*. 2018;**22**:433-46. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1367493518757066>
27. Gao C, Osmundson S, Malin BA, *et al.* Telehealth Use in the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Retrospective Study of Prenatal Care. *Studies in health technology and informatics*. 2022;**290**:503-07. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.3233/SHTI220127>
28. Gemperle M, Grylka-Baeschlin S, Klamroth-Marganska V, *et al.* Midwives' perception of advantages of health care at a distance during the COVID-19 pandemic in Switzerland. *Midwifery*. 2022;**105**:103201. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2021.103201>
29. Gomez-Roas MV, Davis KDM, Leziak K, *et al.* Postpartum during a pandemic: Challenges of low-income individuals with healthcare interactions during COVID-19. *PloS one*. 2022;**17**:e0268698. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268698>
30. Grassl N, Nees J, Schramm K, *et al.* A Web-Based Survey Assessing the Attitudes of Health Care Professionals in Germany Toward the Use of Telemedicine in Pregnancy Monitoring: Cross-Sectional Study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*. 2018;**6**:e10063. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.2196/10063>
31. Guille C, Johnson E, Douglas E, *et al.* A Pilot Study Examining Access to and Satisfaction with Maternal Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder Treatment via Telemedicine. *Telemedicine reports*. 2022;**3**:24-29. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1089/tmr.2021.0041>
32. Guille C, Simpson AN, Douglas E, *et al.* Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder in Pregnant Women via Telemedicine: A Nonrandomized Controlled Trial. *JAMA network open*. 2020;**3**:e1920177. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.20177>
33. Harrison TN, Sacks DA, Parry C, *et al.* Acceptability of Virtual Prenatal Visits for Women with Gestational Diabetes. *Women's Health Issues*. 2017;**27**:351-55. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.whi.2016.12.009>
34. Hearn F, Biggs L, Wallace H, *et al.* No one asked us: Understanding the lived experiences of midwives providing care in the north west suburbs of Melbourne during the COVID-19 pandemic: An interpretive phenomenology. *Women and birth : journal of the Australian College of Midwives*. 2021. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2021.09.008>
35. Hinton L, Dakin FH, Kuberska K, *et al.* Quality framework for remote antenatal care: qualitative study with women, healthcare professionals and system-level stakeholders. *BMJ Quality & Safety*. 2022;**12**:12.
36. Hofmann G, Hampanda K, Harrison MS, *et al.* Virtual Prenatal and Postpartum Care Acceptability Among Maternity Care Providers. *Maternal and child health journal*. 2022;**26**:1401-08. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10995-022-03412-7>

37. Holcomb D, Faucher MA, Bouzid J, *et al.* Patient Perspectives on Audio-Only Virtual Prenatal Visits Amidst the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Pandemic. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2020;**136**.
https://journals.lww.com/greenjournal/Fulltext/2020/08000/Patient_Perspectives_on_Audio_Only_Virtual.16.aspx
38. Jardine J, Relph S, Magee LA, *et al.* Maternity services in the UK during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: a national survey of modifications to standard care. *BJOG*. 2020. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.16547
39. Jeganathan S, Prasannan L, Blitz MJ, *et al.* Adherence and acceptability of telehealth appointments for high-risk obstetrical patients during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM*. 2020;**2**:100233. doi:10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100233
40. Jensen NH, Nielsen KK, Dahl-Petersen IK, *et al.* The experience of women with recent gestational diabetes during the COVID-19 lockdown: a qualitative study from Denmark. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2022;**22**:84.
 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12884-022-04424-5>
41. John JR, Curry G, Cunningham-Burley S. Exploring ethnic minority women's experiences of maternity care during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open*. 2021;**11**:e050666. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-050666
42. Jurgiel J, Graniak A, Jozwik K, *et al.* Pregnancy and childbirth during the coronavirus pandemic. The cross-sectional study of 1321 participants in Poland. *Ginekologia polska*. 2022. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.5603/GP.a2021.0198>
43. Karavadra B, Stockl A, Prosser-Snelling E, *et al.* Women's perceptions of COVID-19 and their healthcare experiences: a qualitative thematic analysis of a national survey of pregnant women in the United Kingdom. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2020;**20**:600.
 doi:10.1186/s12884-020-03283-2
44. Keating N, Dempsey B, Corcoran S, *et al.* Women's Experience of Pregnancy and Birth during the Covid-19 Pandemic: A Qualitative Study. Research Square; 2020.
45. Khalil C. Understanding the Adoption and Diffusion of a Telemonitoring Solution in Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: Qualitative Study. *JMIR Diabetes*. 2019;**4**:e13661.
 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.2196/13661>
46. Khosla K, Suresh S, Mueller A, *et al.* Elimination of racial disparities in postpartum hypertension follow-up after incorporation of telehealth into a quality bundle. *American journal of obstetrics & gynecology MFM*. 2022;**4**:100580.
 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajogmf.2022.100580>
47. Klamroth-Marganska V, Gemperle M, Ballmer T, *et al.* Does therapy always need touch? A cross-sectional study among Switzerland-based occupational therapists and midwives regarding their experience with health care at a distance during the COVID-19 pandemic in spring 2020. *BMC health services research*. 2021;**21**:578.
 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06527-9>
48. Klwugant D, Homer C, Dahlen H. "Never let a good crisis go to waste": Positives from disrupted maternity care in Australia during COVID-19. *Midwifery*. 2022;**110**:103340.
 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2022.103340>

49. Kobayashi H, Sado T. Satisfaction of a new telephone consultation service for prenatal and postnatal health care. *The journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research*. 2019;**45**:1376-81. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jog.13987>
50. Kolker S, Biringier A, Bytautas J, et al. Pregnant during the COVID-19 pandemic: an exploration of patients' lived experiences. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2021;**21**:851-51. doi:[10.1186/s12884-021-04337-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-021-04337-9)
51. Krenitsky NM, Spiegelman J, Sutton D, et al. Primed for a pandemic: Implementation of telehealth outpatient monitoring for women with mild COVID-19. *Seminars in perinatology*. 2020;**44**:151285. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semperi.2020.151285>
52. Lanssens D, Vandenberk T, Lodewijckx J, et al. Midwives', Obstetricians', and Recently Delivered Mothers' Perceptions of Remote Monitoring for Prenatal Care: Retrospective Survey. *J Med Internet Res*. 2019;**21**:e10887. doi:[10.2196/10887](https://doi.org/10.2196/10887)
53. Lanssens D, Vandenberk T, Smeets CJ, et al. Prenatal Remote Monitoring of Women With Gestational Hypertensive Diseases: Cost Analysis. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2018;**20**:e102. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.2196/jmir.9552>
54. Lapadula MC, Rolfs S, Szyld EG, et al. Evaluating Patients' and Neonatologists' Satisfaction With the Use of Telemedicine for Neonatology Prenatal Consultations During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Frontiers in pediatrics*. 2021;**9**:642369. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fped.2021.642369>
55. Liu CH, Goyal D, Mittal L, et al. Patient Satisfaction with Virtual-Based Prenatal Care: Implications after the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*. 2021;**25**:1735-43. doi:[10.1007/s10995-021-03211-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-021-03211-6)
56. Madden N, Emeruwa UN, Friedman AM, et al. Telehealth Uptake into Prenatal Care and Provider Attitudes during the COVID-19 Pandemic in New York City: A Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis. *Am J Perinatol*. 2020;**37**:1005-14. doi:[10.1055/s-0040-1712939](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1712939)
57. Mann C, Goodhue B, Guillard A, et al. The COVID-19 pandemic and reproductive genetic counseling: Changes in access and service delivery at an academic medical center in the United States. *Journal of Genetic Counseling*. 2021;**30**:958-68. doi:[10.1002/jgc4.1462](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgc4.1462)
58. McKinlay AR, Fancourt D, Burton A. Factors affecting the mental health of pregnant women using UK maternity services during the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative interview study. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.10.20.21265279. doi:[10.1101/2021.10.20.21265279](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.20.21265279)
59. Moltrecht B, Dalton LJ, Hanna JR, et al. Young parents' experiences of pregnancy and parenting during the COVID-19 pandemic: a qualitative study in the United Kingdom. *BMC Public Health*. 2022;**22**:523.
60. Morgan A, Goodman D, Vinagolu-Baur J, et al. Prenatal telemedicine during COVID-19: patterns of use and barriers to access. *JAMIA open*. 2022;**5**:ooab116. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jamiaopen/ooab116>
61. Nakagawa K, Umazume T, Mayama M, et al. Survey of attitudes of individuals who underwent remote prenatal check-ups and consultations in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *The journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research*. 2021;**47**:2380-86. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jog.14806>

62. Nelson GA, Holschuh C. Evaluation of Telehealth Use in Prenatal Care for Patient and Provider Satisfaction: A Step Toward Reducing Barriers to Care. *Journal for Nurse Practitioners*. 2021;**17**:481-84. doi:10.1016/j.nurpra.2020.12.026
63. Norris KG, Huang PA, Glantz JC, *et al*. A Cross-Cultural Analysis of the COVID-19 Pandemic's Impact on Antenatal Healthcare-Seeking Behaviors in Ghana and the United States. *Journal of patient experience*. 2021;**8**:23743735211062392. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/23743735211062392
64. Palmer K, Davies-Tuck M, Tanner M, *et al*. Widespread implementation of telehealth in the delivery of antenatal care during the COVID-19 pandemic: An observational cohort study. *Aust New Zealand J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2021;**61**:97. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ajo.13345
65. Peahl AF, Powell A, Berlin H, *et al*. Patient and provider perspectives of a new prenatal care model introduced in response to the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2021;**224**:384.e1-84.e11. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.10.008
66. Pflugeisen BM, McCarren C, Poore S, *et al*. Virtual Visits: Managing prenatal care with modern technology. *MCN The American journal of maternal child nursing*. 2016;**41**:24-30. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/NMC.0000000000000199
67. Pflugeisen BM, Mou J. Patient Satisfaction with Virtual Obstetric Care. *Matern Child Health J*. 2017;**21**:1544-51. doi:10.1007/s10995-017-2284-1
68. Quinn LM, Olajide O, Green M, *et al*. Patient and Professional Experiences With Virtual Antenatal Clinics During the COVID-19 Pandemic in a UK Tertiary Obstetric Hospital: Questionnaire Study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021;**23**:e25549. doi:10.2196/25549
69. Rasekaba T, Nightingale H, Furler J, *et al*. Women, clinician and IT staff perspectives on telehealth for enhanced gestational diabetes mellitus management in an Australian rural/regional setting. *Rural and remote health*. 2021;**21**:5983. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.22605/RRH5983
70. Rayment-Jones H, Harris J, Harden A, *et al*. Project20: interpreter services for pregnant women with social risk factors in England: what works, for whom, in what circumstances, and how? *Int J Equity Health*. 2021;**20**:233. doi:10.1186/s12939-021-01570-8
71. Reforma LG, Duffy C, Collier A-RY, *et al*. A multidisciplinary telemedicine model for management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in obstetrical patients. *American journal of obstetrics & gynecology MFM*. 2020;**2**:100180. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100180
72. Reid CN, Marshall J, Fryer K. Evaluation of a Rapid Implementation of Telemedicine for Delivery of Obstetric Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.05.19.21257311. doi:10.1101/2021.05.19.21257311
73. Reisinger-Kindle K, Qasba N, Cayton C, *et al*. Evaluation of rapid telehealth implementation for prenatal and postpartum care visits during the COVID-19 pandemic in an academic clinic in Springfield, Massachusetts, United States of America. *Health science reports*. 2021;**4**:e455. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.455

74. Reneker JC, Zhang Y, Young DK, *et al.* Use of Telehealth Services for Prenatal Care in Mississippi: Comparison of Pre-COVID-19 Pandemic and Pandemic Obstetric Management. *International journal of clinical practice*. 2022;**2022**:3535700. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2022/3535700>
75. Rousseau A, Gaucher L, Gautier S, *et al.* How midwives implemented teleconsultations during the COVID-19 health crisis: a mixed-methods study. *BMJ open*. 2022;**12**:e057292. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-057292>
76. Saad M, Chan S, Nguyen L, *et al.* Patient perceptions of the benefits and barriers of virtual postnatal care: a qualitative study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2021;**21**:543-43. doi:10.1186/s12884-021-03999-9
77. Sanders J, Blaylock R. "Anxious and traumatised": Users' experiences of maternity care in the UK during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Midwifery*. 2021;**102**:103069. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2021.103069>
78. Sanders J, Blaylock R, WRISK Study Team. Users' experiences of COVID-19 maternity service changes (Version 2). 2020. Available from: https://wrisk.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/WRISK-COVID-Report_Dec20_V2.pdf (accessed 05/02/21)
79. Sarre G, Hyer S, Chauhan-Whittingham P, *et al.* Patients' experience of antenatal diabetic care during the current COVID-19 pandemic: an exploratory study. *Practical Diabetes*. 2021;**38**:23-30. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pdi.2367>
80. Shaw S, Wherton J, Vijayaraghavan S, *et al.* Advantages and limitations of virtual online consultations in a NHS acute trust: the VOCAL mixed-methods study. *Health Services and Delivery Research* 2018;6, 21 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.3310/hsdr06210>
81. Shields AD, Wagner RK, Knutzen D, *et al.* Maintaining access to maternal fetal medicine care by telemedicine during a global pandemic. *Journal of telemedicine and telecare*. 2020:1357633X20957468. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1357633X20957468>
82. Silverio SA, De Backer K, Easter A, *et al.* Women's experiences of maternity service reconfiguration during the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative investigation. *Midwifery*. 2021;**102**:103116. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2021.103116
83. Singh H, Sendejas M, Pallivathucal LB, *et al.* Using telehealth to enhance engagement and reduce patient burden in the management of gestational diabetes: A randomized trial in a diverse community setting. *Diabetes*. 2019;**68**. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db19-879-P>
84. Smith VJ, Marshall A, Lie MLS, *et al.* Implementation of a fetal ultrasound telemedicine service: women's views and family costs. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2021;**21**:38. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-03532-4>
85. Spiby H, Faucher MA, Sands G, *et al.* A qualitative study of midwives' perceptions on using video-calling in early labor. *Birth*. 2019;**46**:105-12. doi:10.1111/birt.12364
86. Stacey T, Darwin Z, Keely A, *et al.* Experiences of maternity care during the COVID-19 pandemic in the North of England. *British Journal of Midwifery*. 2021;**29**:516-23. doi:10.12968/bjom.2021.29.9.516
87. Sung Y-S, Zhang D, Eswaran H, *et al.* Evaluation of a telemedicine program managing high-risk pregnant women with pre-existing diabetes in Arkansas's Medicaid

program. *Seminars in perinatology*. 2021;**45**:151421.
doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semperi.2021.151421>

88. Talmont E, Vitale TR. Telehealth Readiness Assessment of Perinatal Nurses. *Nursing for women's health*. 2022;**26**:86-94. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nwh.2022.01.004>

89. Tavener CR, Kyriacou C, Elmascri I, *et al*. Rapid introduction of virtual consultation in a hospital-based Consultant-led Antenatal Clinic to minimise exposure of pregnant women to COVID-19. *BMJ Open Quality*. 2022;**11**:e001622. doi:10.1136/bmjog-2021-001622

90. Theiler RN, Butler-Tobah Y, Hathcock MA, *et al*. OB Nest randomized controlled trial: a cost comparison of reduced visit compared to traditional prenatal care. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2021;**21**:71. doi:10.1186/s12884-021-03557-3

91. Tozour JN, Bandremer S, Patberg E, *et al*. Application of telemedicine video visits in a maternal-fetal medicine practice at the epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic. *American journal of obstetrics & gynecology MFM*. 2021;**3**:100469.
doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajogmf.2021.100469>

92. Uscher-Pines L, Ghosh-Dastidar B, Bogen DL, *et al*. Feasibility and Effectiveness of Telelactation Among Rural Breastfeeding Women. *Acad Pediatr*. 2020;**20**:652-59.
doi:10.1016/j.acap.2019.10.008

93. van den Heuvel JFM, Teunis CJ, Franx A, *et al*. Home-based telemonitoring versus hospital admission in high risk pregnancies: a qualitative study on women's experiences. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2020;**20**:77. doi:10.1186/s12884-020-2779-4

94. Wang E, Gellman C, Wood E, *et al*. A Medical Student Postpartum Telehealth Initiative During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Maternal and child health journal*. 2022;**26**:65-69.
doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10995-021-03314-0>

95. Zarasvand S, Bayar E, Adan M, *et al*. Rapid quality improvement in a preterm birth clinic care pathway during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMJ Open Quality*. 2020;**9**.
doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjog-2020-001049>

INCLUDED POSTERS

96. Barbour KD, Nelson R, Esplin MS, *et al*. 873: A randomized trial of prenatal care using telemedicine for low-risk pregnancies: patient-related cost and time savings. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2017;**216**:S499-S99. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2016.11.782

97. Jennifer Yang JY, Louise Everitt LE, Sarah Grattan SG, *et al*. MATERNITY STAFF PERCEPTIONS OF EFFECTS ON ANTENATAL SCREENING AND SERVICES FOR MENTAL HEALTH AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC: A SURVEY STUDY. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 2022;**58**:75.
doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jpc.15946>

98. Kennedy HP, Faucher MA, Roberts J, *et al*. The Use of Video Calls in Early Labor Care: Exploring Midwives' Views of the Potential Benefits and Challenges...American College of Nurse-Midwives' 63rd Annual Meeting, Georgia. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*. 2018;**63**:624-25. doi:10.1111/jmwh.12913

99. Lie M, Snaith V, Bidmead E, *et al*. Patients' views of a fetal ultrasound telemedicine service: A mixed methods evaluation study. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2017;**124**:40.
doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.14586>

100. Lister R, Osarhiemen O, Robinson M, *et al.* 959 The silver lining to Covid-19: access to obstetric care via telehealth. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2021;**224**:S595. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.12.984>
101. Mallampati D, Talati AN, Honart AW, *et al.* 971 Preferences and perceived needs for telehealth use among maternity care providers nationally. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2021;**224**:S602. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.12.996>
102. Malloy S, Bradley D. A case for retaining obstetric telemedicine in the post-pandemic world. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2022;**226**:S677. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.11.1116>
103. Talati AN, Mallampati D, Johnson JD, *et al.* 970 Future telehealth use for maternity care beyond COVID19: mixed-methods survey of a regional perinatal health-center. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2021;**224**:S601-S02. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.12.995>
104. Talati AN, Mallampati D, Johnson JD, *et al.* 1000 Provider satisfaction with telehealth for maternity-care during COVID-19: mixed-methods survey of a regional perinatal health-center. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2021;**224**:S619-S20. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.12.1025>

INCLUDED REVIEWS

105. Almuslim H, AlDossary S. Models of Incorporating Telehealth into Obstetric Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic, Its Benefits And Barriers: A Scoping Review. *Telemed J E Health*. 2022;**28**:24-38. doi:10.1089/tmj.2020.0553
106. Aquino M, Munce S, Griffith J, *et al.* Exploring the Use of Telemonitoring for Patients at High Risk for Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy in the Antepartum and Postpartum Periods: Scoping Review. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*. 2020;**8**:e15095. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.2196/15095>
107. Bailey CM, Newton JM, Hall HG. Telephone triage and midwifery: A scoping review. *Women & Birth*. 2018;**31**:414-21. doi:10.1016/j.wombi.2017.12.002
108. Barrera CM, Powell AR, Biermann CR, *et al.* A Review of Prenatal Care Delivery to Inform the Michigan Plan for Appropriate Tailored Healthcare in Pregnancy Panel. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. 2021;**138**:603-15. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000004535>
109. Chan CB, Popeski N, Hassanabad MF, *et al.* Use of Virtual Care for Glycemic Management in People With Types 1 and 2 Diabetes and Diabetes in Pregnancy: A Rapid Review. *Canadian journal of diabetes*. 2021;**45**:677-88.e2. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjd.2021.02.007>
110. Fantinelli S, Marchetti D, Verrocchio MC, *et al.* Assessment of Psychological Dimensions in Telemedicine Care for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review of Qualitative and Quantitative Studies. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2019;**10**:153. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00153>
111. Ferraz Dos Santos L, Borges RF, de Azambuja DA. Telehealth and Breastfeeding: An Integrative Review. *Telemed J E Health*. 2020;**26**:837-46. doi:10.1089/tmj.2019.0073
112. Flaherty SJ, Delaney H, Matvienko-Sikar K, *et al.* Maternity care during COVID-19: a qualitative evidence synthesis of women's and maternity care providers' views and

experiences. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2022;**22**:438. doi:10.1186/s12884-022-04724-w

113. Gavine A, Marshall J, Buchanan P, *et al*. Remote provision of breastfeeding support and education: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Maternal & Child Nutrition*. 2022;**18**:1-23. doi:10.1111/mcn.13296

114. Marcucci B. Use of Telehealth to Increase Breastfeeding Exclusivity and Duration. *Clinical Lactation*. 2018;**9**:66-71. doi:10.1891/2158-0782.9.2.66

115. Montagnoli C, Zanconato G, Ruggeri S, *et al*. Restructuring maternal services during the covid-19 pandemic: Early results of a scoping review for non-infected women. *Midwifery*. 2021;**94**:102916. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2020.102916

116. Penny RA, Bradford NK, Langbecker D. Registered nurse and midwife experiences of using videoconferencing in practice: A systematic review of qualitative studies. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2018;**27**:e739-e52. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jocn.14175

117. Schmitt N, Mattern E, Cignacco E, *et al*. Effects of the Covid-19 pandemic on maternity staff in 2020 – a scoping review. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2021;**21**:1364. doi:10.1186/s12913-021-07377-1

118. Townsend R, Chmielewska B, Barratt I, *et al*. Global changes in maternity care provision during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2021;**37**:100947. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100947

119. van den Heuvel JF, Groenhof TK, Veerbeek JH, *et al*. eHealth as the Next-Generation Perinatal Care: An Overview of the Literature. *J Med Internet Res*. 2018;**20**:e202. doi:10.2196/jmir.9262

120. Wu K, Lopez C, Nichols M. Virtual Visits in Prenatal Care: An Integrative Review. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*. 2021. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jmwh.13284

INCLUDED – OTHER [UK DISCUSSION AND OPINION PIECES, POLICIES, GUIDANCE]

ALL UK-BASED

121. Bailey E, Nightingale S. Navigating maternity service redesign in a global pandemic: A report from the field. *Midwifery*. 2020;**89**:102780-80. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2020.102780

122. Banerjee A, Mansfield A. 'Shining a light on the gaps for learning'. *Midwifery*. 2020;**89**:102790. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2020.102790

123. Bhalla A, Bhalla R, Ganta S. Working remotely: a perspective on telemedicine in delivery of obstetrics and gynaecology health care. *Obstetrician and Gynaecologist*. 2021;**23**:237-42. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tog.12763

124. Bick D, Cheyne H, Chang Y-S, *et al*. Maternal postnatal health during the COVID-19 pandemic: Vigilance is needed. *Midwifery*. 2020;**88**:102781. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2020.102781

125. Department of Health and Social Care. Final Report of the Ockenden Review: Findings, conclusions and essential actions from the independent review of maternity services at the Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust. 2022. Available from:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/final-report-of-the-ockenden-review> (accessed 01/05/22)

126. Hinton L, Kuberska K, Dakin F, *et al.* Creating equitable remote antenatal care: the importance of inclusion. *BMJ Opinion*. 2021.

127. Jack SM, Munro-Kramer ML, Williams JR, *et al.* Recognising and responding to intimate partner violence using telehealth: Practical guidance for nurses and midwives. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2021;**30**:588-602. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15554>

128. Kuberska K, Dakin F, Dixon-Woods M, *et al.* Creating an equitable evidence base for quality and safety in remote antenatal care. *Authorea (Pre-Print)*. 2021. doi:[10.22541/au.160861376.62206303/v1](https://doi.org/10.22541/au.160861376.62206303/v1)

129. National Maternity Review. Better Births: Improving Outcomes of Maternity Services in England: A Five Year Forward View for Maternity Care. 2016. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/national-maternity-review-report.pdf> (accessed 09/02/21)

130. NHS Digital. Digital Maternity: Harnessing Digital Technology in Maternity Services. Contract No.: 09/02/21. Available from: <https://digital.nhs.uk/services/digital-maternity-programme> (accessed 25/01/22)

131. NHS Digital. Maternity DMA Report: Digital Maturity Assessment of Maternity Services in England. 2018. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/national-maternity-dma-report.pdf> (accessed 11/03/21)

132. NHS Digital. Digital Maturity Assessment Regional Summary (LMNS). 2022. (accessed 06/05/22)

133. NHS England. Maternity Transformation Programme. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/mat-transformation/> (accessed 09/02/21)

134. NHS England. Better Births Four Years On: A Review of Progress. 2020. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/better-births-four-years-on-progress-report.pdf> (accessed 09/02/21)

135. NHS England, Better Births. Communications toolkit for local maternity teams to improve communications with Black, Asian and minority ethnic women. 2021. Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/C0702_Communications-toolkit-for-local-maternity-teams-to-improve-communications-with-Black-Asian-and-minority.pdf (accessed 05/02/21)

136. NHS England & NHS Improvement. Equity and equality: Guidance for local maternity systems. 2021. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/C0734-equity-and-equality-guidance-for-local-maternity-systems.pdf> (accessed 07/02/22)

137. Quinn L, Olajide O, Breslin E, *et al.* Virtual antenatal clinics. *British Journal of Midwifery*. 2020;**28**:680-82. doi:[10.12968/bjom.2020.28.9.680](https://doi.org/10.12968/bjom.2020.28.9.680)

138. Royal College of Midwives. Digital Technology in Maternity Care: A Position Statement. 2021. Available from: https://www.rcm.org.uk/media/4789/rcm_position-statement_digital-technologies_final.pdf (accessed 16/03/21)

139. Royal College of Midwives. Virtual Consultations: Guidance on Appropriate Application for Virtual Consultations and Practical Tips for Effective Use. RCM; 2021. Available from: <https://www.rcm.org.uk/media/5086/virtual-consultations-revised-7th-june-2021.pdf> (accessed 06/05/22)

140. Royal College of Midwives, Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists. Guidance for Antenatal and Postnatal Services in the evolving Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic (Version 3). 2020. Available from: <https://www.rcog.org.uk/globalassets/documents/guidelines/2020-10-21-guidance-for-antenatal-and-postnatal-services-in-the-evolving-coronavirus-covid-19-pandemic-v3.pdf> (accessed 05/02/21)

141. Royal College of Nursing. Remote consultations guidance under COVID-19 restrictions. 2020. Available from: <https://www.rcn.org.uk/professional-development/publications/rcn-remote-consultations-guidance-under-covid-19-restrictions-pub-009256> (accessed 05/02/21)

142. Westwood AR. Telehealth and maternity: Has the onset of the pandemic changed the way we utilise telemedicine forever? *British Journal of Midwifery*. 2021;**29**:352-55. doi:10.12968/bjom.2021.29.6.352